

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,677	10/29/2003	Scott Mullaly	Mullaly-1	6838
75	09/11/2006		EXAMINER:	
Mr. Walter J. Tencza Jr.			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
Suite 3			ABTIBUT	DADED MIMDED
10 Station Place		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Metuchen, NJ	08840		3727	
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · ·
	10/696,677	MULLALY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e. cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A	August 2006		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	J Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		· ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	5 □	OTO (40)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

This communication replaces the previous restriction requirement which had claim 6 incorrectly listed with the apparatus claims.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 6-13, drawn to a method of locking a container, classified in class 70, subclass unknown.
- II. Claims 1-5, drawn to an apparatus including a container, classified in class 220, subclass 23.83.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product can be used without inserting the container into the base.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Walter Tencza on March 15, 2006 and on April 14, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flexibility plan (IFP).

Application/Control Number: 10/696,677 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

sjc